



United States Patent and Trademark Office

m'

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/751,645		12/29/2000	Delmar Lanzer	MRI0003	9250	
27268	7590	03/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BAKER &		LS JAN STREET	FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR			
SUITE 2700		MANUSTREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-1782				3724	29	
				DATE MAILED: 03/30/200	DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
055 - 4 - 4 0	09/751,645	LANZER, DELMAR				
• Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MAN INO DATE ()	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
<i>'</i>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8,11-15,17-27,29,31,32,34-44,46 and 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-8,11-13,17-24 and 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,14,15,25-27,29,31,32,41-44,46 and 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	34-40 is/are withdrawn from cons					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the consequence of the consequ	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/751,645

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 2/27/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 14-15, 25-27, 29, 31-32, 46 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by O. F. Kleeb et al. (1,730,938).

Kleeb discloses (Fig. 1-4) the invention including a platform 1, a support plate 19, a saw assembly, a balancing apparatus 60, a saw blade 22, an oscillating mechanism (see Fig. 1), and a par of pivot supports 21, a counterweight 61, a beam 20 and an aperture (Fig. 1 and 2). The balancing apparatus 60 and the support plate 19 move in opposite directions. More specifically, when the balancing apparatus goes up, the support plate goes down, and vice-versa.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/751,645

Art Unit: 3724

5. Claims 41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O. F. Kleeb et al. in view of A. C. Jacobson.

Kleeb discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a platform 1, a support plate 19, a saw assembly, a balancing apparatus 60, a saw blade 22, an oscillating mechanism (see Fig. 1), and a pivot support 21,a counterweight 61, a beam 20 and an aperture (Fig. 1 and 2). Kleeb does not show a rotatable member and a fixed member. However, Jacobson teaches the use of a rotatable member 36 and a fixed member 1 for the purpose of rotating the base 2. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Kleeb's cutter by providing the rotatable member and a fixed member as taught by Jacobson in order to set the device to any degree.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 14-15, 25-27, 29, 31-32, 41-44, 46 and 47 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/751,645

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs March 29, 2004

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER